Serial No. 10/568,0 2. Art Unit 2467

Docker No. PU030245 Customer No. 24498

REMARKS

Applicant has carefully studied the Office action of May 13, 2010 and requests reconsideration of the rejections in view of the foregoing remarks.

To assist the examiner in better appreciating the differences and the art of record, applicant will briefly summarize his invention. As recited in pending claim 1, applicant claims a broadcast router that comprises at one first chassis and at least one second chassis. The first chassis has one of a first, a second, and a third configuration. The first configuration includes a plurality of input cards and no output cards. The second configuration includes a plurality of output cards and no input cards. The third configuration includes a plurality of input and output cards. The second chassis has one of the second configuration and first configuration when the first chassis has the first and second configuration, respectively. The ability of each of the first and second chassis to have alternate parity configurations affords applicant's broadcast router greater flexibility as compared to broadcast routers of the prior art.

Applicant acknowledges the Examiners withdrawal of the previous rejections under §103(a) which identified Kimura et al as a primary reference.

35 U.S.C. §103(a) Rejection of Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 12, and 13

Claims 1, 2, 5 and, 6 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Publication No. 2003/0099247 to Toutant et al. (hereinafter "Toutant et al") in view of U.S. Patent 6,459,699 to Kimura et al. (hereinafter "Kimura et al.).

Applicant respectfully traverses the rejections in view of the teachings of the references. Toutant et al. discloses programmable interconnect system for scalable router. As shown in Figures 3A and 3B, and as pointed out by the Examiner, Toutant et al discloses the connection of router two chassis' for the purpose of expanding the router size. However, Toutatant et al fails to disclose or remotely suggest the alternate parity configuration of the present invention as set forth in claim 1 which states "...at least a second chassis, having one of the second configuration and first configuration when the first chassis has the first and second configuration, respectively."

Serial No. 10/568,0 2467

Docker No. PU030245 Customer No. 24498

The Examiner has admitted this deficiency of Toutant et al in the office action by stating "Toutant et al is not clear on multi-stage I/O configurations." In an effort to show this feature, the examiner again cites Kimura et al. for this purpose. Despite the Examiner's reliance on the abstract, Col. 1, line 48 – Col. 3, line 57 and Figure 1 of Kimura et al, nothing in these cited passages, or anywhere in Kimuar et al, contains any disclosure or suggestion of the alternate parity configuration recited in applicant's claims. In particular, Kimura et al. says nothing about "at least a second chassis, having one of the second configuration and first configuration when the first chassis has the first and second configuration, respectively."

As applicant has stated in the previous response, Kimura et al. concerns an Asynchronous Transfer Mode (ATM) switching module for routing packets from a switch input to a switch output. The Examiner has previously admitted that Kimura does not specifically disclose a broadcast router. Indeed, Kimura et al. concerns an ATM switch which functions to route a packet from a single input to a single output, as known in the art. As applicant has stated previously, the routing of packets in an ATM switch to multiple outputs (as is desirable in a broadcast router) would cause errors in the operation of the ATM switch. Thus, once again, The Examiner's proposed combination would not afford the necessary predictability as required by KSR International v. Teleflex, Inc. 550, U.S. 398, 127 S. Ct. 1727 (2007). Since, the teachings in Kimura would lead a skilled artisan away from any combination with Toutant et al., the examiner's rejection must fail.

35 U.S.C. §103(a) Rejection of Claim 3
35 U.S.C. §103(a) Rejection of Claims 4 and 11
35 U.S.C. §103(a) Rejection of Claims 7-10

Claim 3 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Publication No. 2003/0099247 to Toutant et al. (hereinafter "Toutant et al") in view of U.S. Patent 6,459,699 to Kimura et al. (hereinafter "Kimura et al.), in further view of U.S. Patent No. 7,415,551 to Pescatore et al.

Claims 4 and 11 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Publication No. 2003/0099247 to Toutant et al. (hereinafter "Toutant et al") in view of U.S.

Serial No. 10/568, 6 2467

Docker No. PU030245 Customer No. 24498

Patent 6,459,699 to Kimura et al. (hereinafter "Kimura et al.), in further view of U.S. Publication No. 2003/0058880 to Sarkinen et al.

Claims 7-10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Publication No. 2003/0099247 to Toutant et al. (hereinafter "Toutant et al") in view of U.S. Patent 6,459,699 to Kimura et al. (hereinafter "Kimura et al.), in further view of U.S. Patent No. 5,550,815 to Cloonan et al.

Claims 2-11 ultimately depend from independent claim 1, and as such, the failure of the combined teachings of Toutant et al. with those of Kimura et al. results in a failure of each dependen claim rejection as applied to this combination in view of any of the further cited art. None of the Pescatore et al., Sarkinen et al., or Cloonan et al. references remedy the deficiencies of Toutant et al. and Kimura et al. Therefore, claims 2-11 patentably distinguish over the art of record.

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, applicants solicit entry of this amendment and allowance of the claims. If the Examiner cannot take such action, the Examiner should contact the applicant's attorney at (609) 734-6820 to arrange a mutually convenient date and time for a telephonic interview.

No fees are believed due with regard to this Amendment. Please charge any fee or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 07-0832.

By:

Respectfully submitted,

Carl Christensen

Røbert B. Levy

Attorney for Applicants

Reg. No. 28,234

Phone (609) 734-6820

Patent Operations
Thomson Licensing LLC
P.O. Box 5312
Princeton, New Jersey 08543-5312
August 4, 2010

AUG 19 2011 W.
AUG 19 2011 W.
AUG 19 2011 W.

Inventor(s): Title: BROAD	Carl CHRISTENSEN CAST ROUTER	Serial No.	10/568,035 EXPANSION APPLIC	NSION CAPABILITIES APPLICATION AS FILED	rewith	Patent No. Atty/Agent: RBL/lg		-		
Enter Date	Enter Number	er	Check	k Type	Che	Check Items Mailed with Application	ion			
App. Mailed		Independent Claims		Original-US Nat'l		Declaration			•	
		Claims in Excess 20		Divisional		Assignment & Recordation Sheet	Sheet			
		Specification Pages		CPA/RCE		Priority Document -				
		Sheets of Drawings		Reissue		IDS 1449 with References				
		Abstract Pages		Re-Exam		I —. I				
				US Provisional		Utility Application Transmittal	al	Exp Label No.	Express Mail Applica Label No.: EM 592523075	
Amt.:	Charge			PTO/SB/96		Fee Transmittal Sheet in duplicate	plicate	Date Depor	Date Deposited: August 19,	
Mailed	Due	AMENDMENTS	SI	Mailed	Due	APPEALS	Mailed	Due	FEE	
		After Rejection				Notice of Appeals			Filing Fee Exp.	
		After Final Rejection				Appeal Brief			Issue Fee	
		After Allowance U/R312	312			Reply Brief				
		Voluntary				REQUESTS			Add.I. Payment	
		Letter to Exam/Draftsperson w/Drawing Correction(s)	sperson n(s)	1		Ext.Time§1 136(b) Petition			Fee Trans.Forr	
		Pg(s). of Formal Dwgs.	าal Dwgs.	1		Cert. of Correction		Charge	Total Fee Amt	
		OTHER				OTHER			ОТНІ	
		Req. License to Foreign	ign File	8/49/2011		Petition to Revive			Appointment A:	
		Reg. Priority 35USC119	119	8/19/2011		Prev. Submitted Response to OA			Assignment & I	
		RCE		8/19/2011		Fax Transmittal Conf Sheet			Letter to PO	
		Supp IDS Statement		8/19/2011		Letter from Atty re: Unintentional Abndmnt			Notif. of Foreig	
		Supp IDS w/8references	ces			Declaration			Correction Of F	
		Certificate of Mailing				Suppl. Declaration				
									169/E	19
									•	

MAILING TO U.S. Patent and Transport ATTN: Mail Stop Patent Appli

Report to Dara Base

PATENT OPERATIONS